

Additional Amendments to Bylaw 2020-13 Acheson Industrial Area Structure Plan – Enoch Cree First Nation

Additional comments were received on December 2, 2020, as part of the Public Hearing process. The following table outlines Administration’s response. A meeting with representatives of Enoch Cree First Nation will be scheduled to discuss these potential amendments. At this time, Administration is proposing **additional amendments identified in ITEM # 1 and # 4 of Table 1 prior to Third and FINAL reading of BYLAW 2020-13**. Table 2 outlines items for discussion recommended to be deferred to Phase 2 of the ASP (commencing January 2021).

Table 1: Items to be addressed at Phase 1 of ASP (Bylaw 2020-13):

	Enoch Cree First Nation Comment	ASP Page Number	Administration’s Response	Proposed Amendment Recommended	Proposed Amendment
1	There are several Maps where the ASP border are identified. The City of Edmonton is clearly identified east of the ASP while Enoch is not identified to the south. We ask that Parkland County consider also identifying Enoch south of the ASP. This occurs on pages 10, 13, 14, 16, 22, 40, 60, 63, 64, 67, 78.	Mapping Pages 10, 13, 14, 16, 22, 40, 60, 63, 64, 67, 78.	Affected maps can be amended.	YES	Amend the following maps to identify Enoch Cree First Nation: Map 2 – Existing Land Use Map 3 – Existing Infrastructure Map 4 – Existing Oil and Gas Infrastructure Map 5 – Environmental Features Map 6 – Future Land Use Concept Map 7 – Special Study Areas Map 8 – Transportation Network Map 9 – Water Infrastructure Network Map 10 – Sanitary Infrastructure Network Map 11 – Stormwater Infrastructure Network Map 12 – Development Phasing
2	Indicates preliminary feedback (one on one) session with ECN in the spring of 2020. Can parkland County share who participated on behalf of Enoch and what was Enoch’s feedback?	Page 17	Administration met with Ron Minks virtually on May 26, 2020. Formal meeting minutes were taken were shared with Enoch Cree First Nation. Ron Minks identified that Enoch Cree First Nation would like more involvement in Phase 2. Regular email updates were shared with Enoch Cree First Nation and City of Edmonton.	N/A	<i>None required – information to be shared with Enoch Cree First Nation.</i>
3	Can Parkland County share their development policy and design standards for berms, fencing, and landscaping? We are curious to learn about how, when, and what the applications of these screening techniques will be used and how those techniques impact neighbors.	Page 26	Parkland County’s Land Use Bylaw standards and Engineering Design Standards (best practices) can be shared with Enoch First Nation.	N/A	<i>None required – information to be shared with Enoch Cree First Nation.</i>
4	Special Area B. Parkland County, the City of Edmonton, and Enoch are currently working on the Boundary Interface Protocols Strategy (BIPS) and have agreed that all ‘projects’ within 1.6km (1,600m) of the boundary defined in the BIPS document will include engagement with each other. Enoch would like to be identified and consulted in a similar manner as the CoE as it relates to this area.	Page 43	Administration recognizes the value of Enoch Cree First Nation’s input regarding any future land use designation for Special Study Area - Agricultural Area B.	YES	Policy 2.7.11 - The County shall consult with the City of Edmonton, Enoch Cree First Nation , and landowners to identify future compatible land uses on Special Study Area – Agricultural Area B lands when oil production is no longer operational or prior to future ASP updates, in order to designate future land use concept for this area.
5	From a learning/sharing perspective, would Parkland County be open to a review session with Enoch’s Planning and Development Services so that we can ask questions and share perspective in person (online zoom/MS Teams meeting)?	N/A	Administration is willing to meet with Enoch First Nation to discuss Phase 1 findings and further meetings to discuss Phase 2 to be scheduled at a later date as part of Phase 2 work plan.	N/A	<i>None required – information to be provided to Enoch Cree First Nation.</i>

Table 2: Items to be discussed at Phase 2 of ASP (Bylaw 2020-13):

	Enoch Cree First Nation Comment	ASP Page Number	Administration’s Response
1	<p>Section 3.1 Environment and Section 3.2 Parks, Open Space and Recreation – as noted in the ASP, Enoch would be open to collaborate on the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">o Conservation of potential and existing wildlife corridorso Expansion of existing and/or new recreational facilities (indoor, outdoor, publicly and privately owned facilities)o Explore opportunity to develop regional trail networks <p>o However, there is no mention of Traditional Land Use (TLU) or other exploration of culturally significant areas pertaining to ECN’s potential interests in the ASP.</p>	Page 49	Administration will work with Enoch Cree First Nation to review Local Plan policies in the ASP to accommodate for the preservation and enhancement of cultural and historical resources. Policy wording amendments would occur in Phase 2.
2	<p>Map 8 Transportation Network illustrates the proposed network in Acheson. We have several interests:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">o Upgrades to 231 street at/near 79th ave/Hwy 628 will include four (4) different jurisdictions (GoA/AT, Parkland County, City of Edmonton, and Enoch). We would like to be consulted early in the development of the future road alignment such that impacts to Enoch can be considered.o Hwy 628 alignment and stranded land parcels south of 628 and north of ECN. Land described as zone 7 and zone 8. As Hwy 628 is being considered for upgrades (functional planning, preliminary design), Enoch would like to be consulted around the future of those stranded parcels of land.o Hwy 60 upgrades illustrate a significant interchange upgrade at Hwy 628. This will impact Enoch’s access/egress and planned developments south along Hwy 60 as well as access to Enoch along our northern boundary. We would like to be consulted early in the development of the future Hwy 60 upgrades at Hwy 628.	Page 60	Further discussions to occur in 2021 with appropriate County departments and Enoch Cree First Nation.
3	<p>Map 9 Water Infrastructure Network illustrates the proposed water network. Enoch does not have a secondary (redundant) water source connection. Is there an opportunity to consider a secondary water feed to Enoch in the event our primary feed from the EPCOR system along 79th avenue/215street is interrupted?</p>	Page 63	Further discussions to occur in 2021 with appropriate County departments and Enoch Cree First Nation.
4	<p>Map 10 Sanitary Infrastructure Network illustrates the proposed sanitary collection network. Enoch’s long-term sanitary servicing will likely require a connection to the ACRWC main line north of Hwy 16. Is there an opportunity to discuss a future alignment and/or protect and alignment for a future sanitary forcemain from Enoch to the ACRWC?</p>	Page 64	Further discussions to occur in 2021 with appropriate County departments and Enoch Cree First Nation.
5	<p>The principal and intent of intermunicipal collaboration is critical to the long-term success of communities. However, the language we currently use in planning does not reflect the unique status of First Nations and the relationship(s) between our communities. We are not a municipality. Would Parkland County consider using alternate language to describe our unique relationship? We currently have an MOU with Parkland and wonder if it might be appropriate to identify the MOU and/or the BIPS document in the ASP to raise awareness in both communities of our already existing collaborative relationship.</p>	Page 72	Administration will discuss with Enoch Cree First Nation as to the appropriate language to use in reference to the unique relationship between Parkland County and Enoch Cree First Nation. Policy wording amendments would occur in Phase 2.
6	<p>Section 5.1 Intermunicipal Collaboration</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">o Circulation of applications to ECN within 0.8km (1.6km to CoE). As noted above, the BIPS suggests referrals to either ECN or CoE within 1.6km of our shared boundary.o Collaboration with the CoE regarding issues related to 231st is identified. As noted above, ECN should be included in the discussion as it relates to the southern leg of 231st.	Page 73	The notification radius is identified in the County’s Municipal Development Plan. However, Administration will discuss with Enoch Cree First Nation appropriate amendments to Policy 5.1.2 which addresses notification distance. Potential wording amendments would occur in Phase 2.