



Date:

May-8-17

To:

Mike Heck, Chief Administrative Officer

Copy:

Ken Van Buul, Corporate Services General Manager

Arlan Delisle, County Solicitor/Legal & Legislative Services Manager

From:

Doug Tymchyshyn, Legislative Services Manager/Chief Legislative Officer

Subject:

Range Road 30 Road Reconstruction and Paving Petition Report

I have reviewed the Range Road 30 Reconstruction and Paving Petition received by you on May 8, 2017 and have determined the petition is insufficient pursuant to Section 226 of the *Municipal Government Act (MGA)* of *Alberta*.

The petition was not reviewed for sufficiency under Sections 222, 223 and 392 of the MGA as my preliminary review determined the petition is insufficient due to deficiencies in the following areas:

Section	Requirement	Deficiency
224(2)(a)	Printed surname and given names or initials	No given names or initials were provided and some names were not printed
224(2)(b)	Petitioner's signature	Petition signatures were both printed and written
224(2)(c)	Petitioner street address or legal description provided	Some petitioners only provided subdivision information
224(2)(d)	Date petitioner signs the petition	No signature dates were provided
224(3)(a)	Signature witnessed by an adult person	No witness was provided for each signature
224(3)(b)	Witness affidavit filed with petition stating petitioners were eligible to sign	No witness affidavit was filed with the petition
224(4)	Petition representative statement filed with the petition	No petition representative statement was filed with the petition.

Section 226 of the MGA compels the Chief Administrative Officer to make a report to Council within 30 days on the sufficiency/insufficiency of a petition. To comply with legislation I would recommend your report be communicated to Council at their May 23, 2017 regular meeting.

Doug Tymchyshyn, CLGM, CMC